

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,052	03/29/2004	Jeffrey John-Carl Tuttle		9520
759	90 03/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Jeffrey Tuttle			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
42177 Blairmoor Sterling Heights, MI 48313			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3711	

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-L
	10/811,052	TUTTLE, JEFFREY JOHN-CA	RL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile. 137(a) de tile. 138(a) de tile. 138	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	March 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplicate any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	y (PTO-413) Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I. Claims 1-8, drawn to golf club head, classified in class 473, subclass 324.
 - Group II. Claim 9, drawn to a method of designing a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass 409.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of a golf club and a method of designing a golf club are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the club and golf club head can be made generically for all golfers without have to be custom made for a specific golfer.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

4. If the invention of a golf club/head (Group I) is elected (claims 1-8), this invention

contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed

invention:

Type of head

a. Species 1 (Wood): Claims 2 and 6.

b. Species 2 (Iron): Claims 3 and 7.

c. Species 3 (Putter): Claims 4 and 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently for group I claims, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. The explanation of why restrictions are made can be found in chapter 800-803 in the Manual of Patenting Examining Procedures (MPEP) found at the website (http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html). The applicant is reminded that a signed response must be received by the Patent Office within 30 days of the mailing date of this Office Action unless extensions of time are made in accordance with chapter 710.02(e). If the applicant wants the date to count when the response is placed in the mail a certificate of mailing must be made in accordance with chapter 512 of the MPEP. A Certificate of Mailing form can be found by going to PTO/SB/92 at http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/index.html.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jeffrey Tuttle on about 2 March 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made due to the phone number 586-739-4134 not being correct.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 8 March 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER